Chapter 10. HYDRAULICS OF OVERLAND FLOW

J.E. Gilley and M.A. Weltz

10.1 Introduction

Proper identification of hydraulic parameters is essential for the operation of other WEPP model components. Routing of surface runoff, which is described in Chapter 4, requires use of appropriate friction coefficients. Accurate hydraulic estimates are also needed for the erosion model component outlined in Chapter 11.

The Darcy-Weisbach equation is widely used to describe flow characteristics. Under uniform flow conditions, the Darcy-Weisbach friction coefficient, f, is given as (Chow, 1959)

$$f = \frac{8gRS}{V^2}$$
 [10.1.1]

where g is acceleration due to gravity $(m \cdot s^{-2})$, R is hydraulic radius (m), S is average slope, and V is flow velocity $(m \cdot s^{-1})$. The Darcy-Weisbach friction coefficient is a dimensionless parameter. Equations used to predict hydraulic coefficients in the WEPP model are presented below. Separate estimates of Darcy-Weisbach friction coefficients are made for rill and interrill areas. A total equivalent friction coefficient for cropland, f_e , is then computed as an area weighted average of the rill and interrill areas using the relationship

$$f_e = f_r A_r + f_i (1 - A_r)$$
 [10.1.2]

where f_r is the total friction coefficient in the rill, f_i is the total friction coefficient for the interrill region, and A_r is the fraction of the total area in rills.

10.2 Roughness Coefficients for Cropland Rills

Shear stress in rills is partitioned into two parts; one part that acts on the soil to cause detachment and another portion that acts on exposed residue or other surface cover and thus is not active in terms of soil detachment. The portion of the shear stress which acts on the soil and causes erosion is proportional to the ratio of the friction coefficient for the soil to the total friction coefficient (soil plus cover). If cover exists in the rill, the portion of total shear which acts on the soil will only be a fraction of the total shear stress in the rill. The total friction coefficient for rill areas, f_r is given as

$$f_r = f_{sr} + f_{cr} + f_{live} ag{10.2.1}$$

where f_{sr} is the friction coefficient for rill surface roughness, f_{cr} is the friction coefficient for rill surface residue, and f_{live} is the friction coefficient for living plants which act to slow runoff.

Friction coefficients for rill surface roughness were measured at 11 sites located throughout the eastern United States (Gilley et al., 1990). The experimental data were used to develop the following equation for estimating f_{sr}

$$f_{sr} = 1.11$$
 [10.2.2]

A laboratory flume was employed to identify friction coefficients induced by surface residue (Gilley et al., 1991). The following equation for predicting f_{cr} was developed from the laboratory data

$$f_{cr} = 4.5 \ r_c^{1.55} \tag{10.2.3}$$

where r_c is the fraction of the rill covered by residue material.

Values of f_{live} were obtained from the literature using data for closely spaced crops including alfalfa, grasses and small grains (Cox and Palmer, 1948; Ree and Palmer, 1949; Ree and Crow, 1977; and Ree et al., 1977). The maximum value of f_{live} (f_{livmx}) assumed for alfalfa and grasses was 12. A friction coefficient of 3 was assumed for wheat. A linear function was assigned based upon the canopy height of the plant, *canhgt*, compared to its maximum height, *hmax*:

$$f_{live} = (canhgt/hmax) f_{livmx}$$
 [10.2.4]

Roughness coefficients for row crops other than wheat were found to be negligible by Gilley and Kottwitz (1994).

10.3 Roughness Coefficients for Cropland Interrill Areas

Interrill surface roughness, surface residue cover, standing plant material, and gravel and cobble material may all influence the total friction coefficient for the interrill area, f_i , since

$$f_i = f_{si} + f_{ci} + f_{bi} + f_{live}$$
 [10.3.1]

where f_{si} is the friction coefficient for interrill surface roughness, f_{ci} is the friction coefficient for interrill surface cover, f_{bi} is the friction coefficient for a smooth bare soil, and f_{live} is the friction coefficient for living plants as defined earlier.

Interrill form roughness elements are primarily affected by the type of tillage operation which is performed and the cumulative rainfall occurring since tillage (Zobeck and Onstad, 1987). Form roughness elements may be quite large compared to flow depth on interrill areas. Finkner (1988) related form roughness elements to friction coefficients using the relationships

$$f_{si} = 0.500 f_o^{1.13} \exp^{-3.09(1.0 - r_i)} - f_{bi}$$
 [10.3.2]

where

$$f_o = \exp^{3.02 - 5.04 \exp^{(-161 \, r_o)}}$$
 [10.3.3]

and r_o is the initial random roughness of a freshly tilled soil (m), r_i is the ratio of random roughness at some later time to r_o , and f_o is the friction factor for a freshly tilled surface in the absence of cover.

The friction coefficient for interrill surface cover, f_{ci} , is given by

$$f_{ci} = 14.5 \ i_c^{1.55} \tag{10.3.4}$$

where i_c is the fraction of the interrill area covered by nonmoveable residue. The above equation was developed using laboratory data obtained by Gilley et al. (1991). The friction coefficient for a smooth bare soil on an interrill area, f_{bi} , is represented as

$$f_{bi} = 4.07 ag{10.3.5}$$

Eq. [10.2.4] is used to compute the friction coefficient due to living plants, f_{live} .

10.4 Roughness Coefficient for Rangeland Rills

Shear stress in rangeland rills is partitioned into two parts, one part acts on the soil to cause detachment and another portion acts on exposed litter, rocks or other surface cover and thus is not active in soil detachment. The portion of the shear stress which acts on the soil and causes erosion is proportional to the ratio of the friction coefficient for the soil to the total friction coefficient (soil plus cover). If cover exists in the rill, the portion of total shear which acts on the soil will only be a fraction of total shear stress in the rill. The total friction coefficient for rangeland rills, f_{rr} , is given as

$$f_{rr} = f_{rs} + f_{ro} + f_{cs} - 15.87 ag{10.4.1}$$

where f_{rs} is the roughness coefficient for soil induced roughness, f_{ro} is the roughness coefficient for random roughness, and f_{cs} is the roughness coefficient for surface cover in the rill. If the value of f_{rr} is less than the value of f_{rs} , f_{rr} is set equal to f_{rs} .

The friction coefficient for grain roughness of the soil is assumed to be

$$f_{rs} = 1.11$$
 [10.4.2]

The equation used to estimate the friction coefficient for random roughness (f_{ro}) in a rill is given as

$$f_{ro} = 42.76 (1.0 - e^{(-77.3r_r)})$$
 [10.4.3]

where r_r is random roughness (m) of the rangeland rill area.

The friction coefficient associated with surface cover for rills is represented as

$$f_{cs} = f_{rkr} + f_{ltr} + f_{pbr}$$
 [10.4.4]

where f_{rkr} is the friction coefficient caused by gravel, rocks and stones in the rills, f_{ltr} is the friction coefficient associated with litter and organic residue in the rills, and f_{pbr} is the friction coefficient associated with plant stems, leaves, and cryptogam surface cover in the rills. The model does not estimate the fraction of litter that is detached and transported by overland flow. Litter is assumed to be nonmoveable and the model does not estimate the formation or destruction of litter debris dams. Gilley et al. (1992) conducted a laboratory study to measure friction coefficients for gravel and cobble surfaces. The following equation for estimating f_{rkr} was developed from the laboratory data

$$f_{rkr} = 1.85 \ r_{rock}$$
 [10.4.5]

where r_{rock} is the fraction of the rill surface covered by gravel and cobble material.

Weltz et al. (1992) used computer optimization techniques to identify friction coefficients using the rising limb of runoff hydrographs obtained from rangeland plots. Their optimization data were used to develop the following equation for estimating f_{ltr}

$$f_{ltr} = 113.73 \ r_c^{3.0}$$
 [10.4.6]

where r_c is the fraction of the rill surface covered by litter and organic residue.

The equation used to estimate the friction coefficient attributed to plant stems and cryptogam surface cover is (Weltz et al., 1992):

$$f_{pbr} = 0.5 (125.91 (B_{ar} + C_{ccr})^{0.8})$$
 [10.4.7]

where B_{ar} is the fraction of the rill surface covered by plant stems, and C_{ccr} is the fraction of the rill surface covered by cryptogams.

10.5 Roughness Coefficients for Rangeland Interrill Areas

The friction coefficient for interrill rangeland areas, f_{ir} , is calculated as

$$f_{ir} = \frac{f_{bi} + f_{ro} + f_{ri} - 15.87}{0.65}$$
 [10.5.1]

where f_{bi} is the roughness coefficient for soil grain roughness, f_{ro} is the roughness coefficient for random roughness, and f_{ri} is the roughness coefficient for surface cover. The friction coefficient for random roughness is calculated in a similar manner for rill and interrill areas (Eq. [10.4.3]). The friction coefficient due to soil grain roughness on interrill areas is calculated using

$$f_{bi} = 4.07 ag{10.5.2}$$

which is based on results of a laboratory study of Gilley et al. (1991). If the value computed for f_{ir} using Eq. [10.5.1] is less than f_{bi} , then f_{ir} is set equal to f_{bi} within the WEPP model.

The friction coefficient for surface cover on interrill areas is calculated as

$$f_{ri} = f_{rki} + f_{lti} + f_{pbi} ag{10.5.3}$$

where f_{rki} is the friction coefficient associated with gravel, rocks, and stones on the interrill area, f_{lti} is the friction coefficient caused by litter and organic residue on the interrill area, and f_{pbi} is the friction coefficient associated with plant stems and cryptogam surface cover on the interrill area.

Friction coefficients associated with gravel, rocks and stones, and litter and organic residue are estimated using the following equations:

$$f_{rki} = 1.847 i_{rock}$$
 [10.5.4]

$$f_{lti} = 113.73 \ i_c^{3.0} \tag{10.5.5}$$

where i_{rock} is the fraction of the interrill area covered by gravel and cobble material, and i_c is the fraction of the interrill area covered by litter and organic residue.

Weltz et al. (1992) used optimization procedures to develop the following equation for estimating f_{pbi}

$$f_{phi} = 38.95 C_c^{0.8} + 125.91 (B_{ai} + C_{cci})^{0.8}$$
 [10.5.6]

where C_c is the fraction of total canopy cover, B_{ai} is the fraction of the interrill area covered by plant stems, and C_{cci} is the fraction of the interrill surface covered by cryptogams.

The model does not predict the fraction of plant material that may be transported by overland flow or the formation and destruction of debris dams. A constant gravel and cobble cover is assumed. The formation of erosion pavement (increase in rock cover) is not considered.

10.6 Temporal Variations in Roughness Coefficients

The fraction of the surface covered by crop residue on croplands, or litter and organic residue on rangelands, affects rill or interrill friction coefficients. Tillage, residue decomposition, harvesting, grazing or burning may reduce vegetative cover. Friction coefficients may also be influenced by varying canopy cover, canopy height, and basal plant cover.

Friction coefficients on interrill areas may be significantly affected by tillage induced soil roughness. Soil roughness parameters may vary substantially with different tillage implements. Rainfall may also cause reductions in friction coefficients. Mechanical disturbance of the soil on rangeland is currently not an option considered by the model.

10.7 Rill Density and Width

Gilley et al. (1990) measured rill density, rill flow rates and rill widths during rainfall simulation tests conducted at 11 sites located throughout the eastern United States. They suggested use of a rill density approximation of 1.0 rills/m. Flow rates are assumed to be the same in each rill.

If rainfall excess and rill density are known, rill flow rate, Q_e , can be calculated. Rill width, w, can then be estimated using the following regression equation developed by Gilley et al. (1990)

$$w = 1.13 \ Q_e^{0.303} \tag{10.7.1}$$

The effects on rill geometry of soil layers with varying density are not considered in the model.

10.8 References

- Chow, V. T. 1959. Open Channel Hydraulics, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, NY. 680 pp.
- Cox, M.B. and V. J. Palmer. 1948. Results of tests on vegetated waterways, and methods of field application. Oklahoma Agric. Exp. Stn. Misc. Pub. No. MP-12, 43 pp.
- Finkner, S.C. 1988. Hydraulic roughness coefficients as affected by random roughness. M.S. Thesis, Univ. of Nebraska, 89 pp.
- Gilley, J. E., E. R. Kottwitz and J.R. Simanton. 1990. Hydraulic characteristics of rills. Trans. ASAE 33(6):1900 1906.
- Gilley, J. E., E. R. Kottwitz and G. A. Wieman. 1991. Roughness coefficients for selected residue materials. J. Irrig. Drain. Engr., ASCE 117(4):503 514.
- Gilley, J. E., E. R. Kottwitz and G. A. Wieman. 1992. Darcy-Weisbach roughness coefficients for gravel and cobble surfaces. J. Irrig. Drain. Engr., ASCE 118(1):104 112.
- Gilley, J. E. and E. R. Kottwitz. 1994. Darcy-Weisbach roughness coefficients for selected crops. Trans. ASAE 37(2):467-471.
- Ree, W. O. and V. J. Palmer. 1949. Flow of water in channels protected by vegetative linings. U.S. Department of Agriculture Technical Bulletin 967, 115 pp.
- Ree, W. O. and F. R. Crow. 1977. Friction factors for vegetated waterways of small slope. ARS S-151, 56 pp.
- Ree, W. O., F. R. Crow and W.W. Huffine. 1977. Annual grasses for temporary protection of earth spillways. Trans. ASAE 20(5):934-939.

Weltz, M. A., A. B. Awadis and L. J. Lane. 1992. Hydraulic roughness coefficients for native rangelands. J. Irrig. Drain. Engr., ASCE 118(5):776 - 790.

Zobeck, T. M. and C. A. Onstad. 1987. Tillage and rainfall effects on random roughness: A review. Soil and Tillage Res. 9:1-20.

10.9 List of Symbols

| Symbol | Definition | Units | Variable |
|----------------|---|------------------|--------------|
| A_r | fraction of the total area in rills | - | rillar |
| \vec{B}_{ai} | fraction of basal plant cover on interrill rangeland areas | - | bascov*fbasi |
| B_{ar} | fraction of basal plant cover in rangeland rills | - | bascov*fbasr |
| C_c | fraction of total canopy cover on rangeland areas | - | cancov |
| C_{cci} | fraction of cryptogam cover on interrill rangeland areas | - | crycov*frcyi |
| C_{ccr} | fraction of cryptogam cover in rangeland rills | - | crycov*fcryr |
| canhgt | canopy height of the plant | m | canhgt |
| f | Darcy-Weisbach friction coefficient | - | - |
| f_{bi} | friction coefficient for a smooth, bare soil on an interrill area | - | inrsco |
| f_{ci} | friction coefficient for interrill surface cover on a cropland area | - | inrfco |
| f_{cr} | friction coefficient for rill surface residue on a cropland area | - | frccov |
| f_{cs} | friction coefficient for rill surface cover on a rangeland area | - | frccov |
| f_e | total equivalent friction coefficient | - | frcteq |
| f_i | total friction coefficient for the interrill area on croplands | - | inrfto |
| f_{ir} | total friction coefficient for the interrill area on rangelands | - | inrfto |
| f_{live} | friction coefficient due to living plants on cropland | - | frlive |
| f_{livmx} | maximum value of f_{live} | - | flivmx |
| f_{lti} | friction coefficient for interrill litter and organic residue on rangelands | - | frires |
| f_{ltr} | friction coefficient for rill litter and organic residue on rangelands | - | frrres |
| f_o | friction coefficient for form roughness on a cropland interrill area | - | inrfo |
| f_{pbi} | friction coefficient for basal cover on a rangeland interrill area | - | fribas |
| f_{pbr} | friction coefficient for basal cover in a rangeland rill | - | frrbas |
| f_r | total friction coefficient in a cropland rill | - | fretrl |
| f_{ri} | friction coefficient for surface cover on a rangeland | - | inrfco |
| | interrill area | | |
| f_{rki} | friction coefficient for gravel, rocks and stones on an interrill rangeland area | - | frirok |
| f_{rkr} | friction coefficient for gravel, rocks and stones | _ | frirok |
| J FKF | in a rangeland rill | | mon |
| f_{ro} | friction coefficient for random roughness on | - | inrfro |
| | rangelands | | |
| f_{rr} | total friction coefficient in a rangeland rill | - | fretrl |
| f_{rs} | friction coefficient for soil in a rangeland rill | - | fresol |
| f_{si} | friction coefficient for interrill surface roughness | - | inrfro |
| f_{sr} | friction coefficient for rill surface roughness | - 2 | fresol |
| g | acceleration due to gravity | $m \cdot s^{-2}$ | accgav |
| hmax | maximum plant height | m | hmax |

| i_c | fraction of the interrill area covered by nonmovable residue material | - | rescov*fresi |
|------------|--|--------------------|--------------|
| i_{rock} | fraction of the interrill area covered by gravel, rocks | - | rokcov*froki |
| | and stones on rangelands | | |
| Q_e | rill flow discharge | $m^3 \cdot s^{-1}$ | qshear |
| r_c | fraction of the rill covered by nonmovable residue material | - | rescov*fresr |
| r_i | ratio of random roughness at some later time to initial random roughness | - | rrrinr |
| r_{rock} | fraction of the rill surface covered by gravel, rocks | - | rokcov*frokr |
| | and stones on rangelands | | |
| r_o | initial random roughness of a freshly tilled surface | m | rroinr |
| r_r | random roughness value on rangelands | m | rrough |
| R | hydraulic radius | m | hydrad |
| S | average slope | - | - |
| V | flow velocity | $m \cdot s^{-1}$ | - |
| W | rill width | m | width |